

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,186	07/15/2003	Robert J. Gartside	ABBLUM/261/US 8685		
7590 06/07/2006 Alix, Yale & Ristas, LLP 750 Main Street			EXAMINER		
			DANG, THUAN D		
Hartford, CT 06103-2721			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1764		
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/620,186	GARTSIDE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thuan D. Dang	1764	

Pefere the Fillian of an Annual D 1 6	10/620,186	GARTSIDE ET AL.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Thuan D. Dang	1764						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 15 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, which over its later. In								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	E below);						
(c) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below the composition of the composition	w); ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		*						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	 owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will ided below or appended.	be entered and an ex	cplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:		٠,						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appeal and/								
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see the attachment.								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
		1						
	•	Thuon D. Dane						
		Thuan D. Dang Primary Examiner						
		Art Unit: 1764						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application/Control Number: 10/620,186

Art Unit: 1764

The Attachment to the Advisory Action

The argument that the claimed "autometathesis", as defined by the specification, includes the reaction of 2-butene with isobutylene and the reaction of 1-butene with isobutylene is not persuasive since as disclosed by applicants in paragraph bridging pages 6 and 7, these two reactions are only **possible** reactions with conditions.

Other arguments are noted by examiners such as the inclusion of isobutylene in the prior art process. However, this argument is related to the above argument. Therefore, the argument is moot.

The argument that there is no teaching in Schwab of a downstream metathesis reaction involving ethylene and n-butenes is not persuasive since applicants do not claim so.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan D. Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-1445. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thuan D. Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

10620186.20060601